

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D.	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,816 01/22/2004		004	William J. Shaw	10527-455001 6207	
26161	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIC 225 FRANK	CHARDSON F	PC .	PHAN, HIEU		
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2n/	
DIV	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/762,816	SHAW, WILLIAM J.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hieu Phan	3738					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 17	December 2004.						
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
=	<del>-</del> '''							
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	953 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-5,7-40,42,44 and 46-50 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 1-5,7-25,27-40 and 46 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 26,42,44 and 47-50 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
•		of cicolon requirement.						
Application	•	nor						
,	e specification is objected to by the Examir e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Examiner.					
	plicant may not request that any objection to th							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date 12/17/2004	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/762,816

Art Unit: 3738

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 26, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Litner (U.S. Patent 6,589,286).

Litner disclosed a method of making a medical device by co-knitting a ceramic fiber with a non-ceramic fiber as is claimed (column 5 lines 15-47).

3. Claims 47 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lozier (U.S. Patent 6,733,533).

Lozier disclosed a method of making a medical device with a ceramic fiber with a non-ceramic fiber as is claimed (column 4 lines 50-67 and column 5 lines 1-5).

4. Claims 48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weber (U.S. Patent 6,807,440).

Weber disclosed a method of making a medical device with a ceramic fiber with a non-ceramic fiber as is claimed (claim 6).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-5, 7-25 and 27-40 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26, 42, 44 and 47-50 have been 6. considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Application/Control Number: 10/762,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700